

**IV. AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes new Figure 5. New Figure 5 is supported on page 2, lines 23-28, and on page 7, lines 15-26, of Applicant's specification as originally filed.

Attachment: One Replacement Sheet

**V. REMARKS**

As an initial matter, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner acknowledge Applicant's foreign priority claim and receipt of the copies of the certified copy of Applicant's foreign priority document from the International Bureau.

The specification has been amended to include Section Headings. The specification has also been amended to incorporate subject matter from page 2, lines 23-28, into the detailed description of the invention. The specification has also been amended to add a brief description of new Figure 5, and to add new character references shown in Figure 5. Thus, the specification has been amended to clarify in the detailed description of the invention that the "electronic means" is used to generate the "acoustic or visual alarm" as supported on page 2, lines 23-28, and on page 7, lines 15-26, of Applicants' specification as originally filed.

New Figure 5 has been added to illustrate the gas sensor operating in association with the electronic means of the watch, wherein the electronic means is used to generate, if necessary, an acoustic or visual alarm as supported on page 2, lines 23-28 of Applicant's specification as originally filed. New Figure 5 also illustrates the sensor operating in association with the electronic means, wherein the electronic means is used to generate the alarm whether it is a visual type alarm on a liquid crystal screen, or a warning light turned on, or an acoustic signal emitted using the electronic means as supported on page 2, lines 23-28, and on page 7, lines 15-26, of Applicant's specification as originally filed.

Claims 13, 14, 16 and 18-23 have been amended, and new claim 26 has been added. Specifically, independent claim 1 has been amended to improve grammar, and to recite "a device disposed to monitor water resistance of a case, sealed in a water resistant manner, of an electronic timepiece" as supported on page 6, lines 4-10, of Applicant's specification as originally filed. Independent claim 1 has also been amended to recite "an electronic means for generating an alarm," and to recite "in response to receiving a measurement signal from

the electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner, the electronic means for generating an alarm emits, if necessary, an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm,” as supported on page 2, lines 23-28, and on page 4, lines 9-20, of Applicant’s specification as originally filed. Claims 14, 16 and 18-22 have been amended to improve grammar. Independent claim 18 has also been amended to recite “introducing a gas with an initial concentration into atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece so that the gas is sealed in the case” as supported on page 6, lines 4-12, of Applicant’s specification as originally filed. Claim 23 has been amended to improve grammar and to replace “less than” with --greater than-- as supported by previous claim 18 and on page 3, lines 1-3, and on page 4, lines 9-11, of Applicant’s disclosure as originally filed.

New independent claim 26 corresponds to the subject matter of independent claim 13, but rewritten so that the preamble recites “an electronic timepiece.” Independent claim 26 also recites “wherein the electronic timepiece is a watch” as supported on page 5, lines 5-7, of Applicant’s specification as originally filed.

The Abstract of the Disclosure has been amended in accordance with amendment to independent claim 13.

The present amendment adds no new matter to the above-captioned application.

#### A. The Invention

The present invention pertains to a device and apparatus such as may be used to monitor the water resistance of a case of a timepiece. In accordance with an apparatus embodiment of the present invention, a device is provided that includes the features recited by independent claim 1. In accordance with another apparatus embodiment of the present invention, an electronic timepiece is provided that includes the features recited by independent claim 26. In accordance with a method embodiment of the present invention, a

method of monitoring the water resistance of a case of a timepiece is provided that includes the steps recited by independent claim 18. Various other embodiments, in accordance with the present invention, are recited by the dependent claims.

An advantage of the apparatus and method embodiments of the present invention is that monitoring of the water resistance of a case of a timepiece is provided wherein fluctuations in the concentration of a gas in the case of the timepiece is detected using an electronic sensor. In this way, the electronic sensor, in association with electronic means of the timepiece, operates to generate an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm so that a person wearing the timepiece is quickly warned of the loss of water resistance of the case. Consequently, the person wearing the timepiece will have notice that it is time to take the timepiece to a horologist for testing, and if necessary, repairs.

**B. The Rejections**

Claims 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite.

Claims 13-15, 18, 22 and 24-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Chan et al. (U.S. Patent 5,786,768, hereafter the “Chan Patent”).

Claims 16, 20 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over the Chan Patent in view of Imoto (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0252273, hereafter the “Imoto Publication”). Claims 17 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over the Chan Patent in view of “applicant’s admitted prior art” (pages 2 and 3, and page 5, lines 25-27, hereafter “Applicant’s Specification”).

Applicant respectfully traverses the above rejections and requests reconsideration of the above-captioned application for the following reasons.

C. Applicant's Arguments

In view of the present amendment, claims 13-26 are now in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

With respect to claim 15, Applicant traverses the Examiner's contention that the term "differential measuring bridge" is "not clearly defined by the claim, [and] the specification does not provide an explanation of the term, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably determine (sic) of the scope of the intention" (Office Action, dated June 13, 2007, at 2, lines 14-17). Specifically, original claim 2 and page 6, lines 20-27, clearly identify and describe element "48" shown in Figure 4 of Applicant's disclosure as originally filed. A person of ordinary skill in the art would immediately recognize that element "48" is a "Wheatstone bridge" as evident from the Wikipedia definition of "Wheatstone bridge" at [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wheatstone\\_bridge](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wheatstone_bridge), downloaded September 11, 2007, 3 pages, filed herewith, and as evident from Fig. 29.19 from HANS C. OHANIAN, PHYSICS 664 (1985), also filed herewith.

An applicant for patent may act as his own lexicographer. Digital Biometrics Inc. v. Identix Inc., 47 U.S.P.Q.2d 1418, 1424 (Fed. Cir. 1998). In this case, Applicant has employed the phrase "differential measuring bridge" to mean a circuit comprising a "Wheatstone bridge" as shown in Figure 4 and as described on page 6, lines 20-27, of Applicant's disclosure.

For all of the above reasons, the phrase "differential measuring bridge" has a definite meaning as ascribed to it by the Applicant's disclosure as originally filed.

i. The Section 102(b) Rejection

Anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102 requires showing the presence in a single prior art reference disclosure of each and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the

claim. Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GMBH v. American Hoist & Derrick, 221 U.S.P.Q. 481, 485 (Fed. Cir. 1984). In this case, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of anticipation against Applicant's claimed invention because the Chan Patent fails to teach each and every claimed limitation, arranged as in independent claims 13, 18 and 26.

ii. The Chan Patent

The Chan Patent discloses a “clock radio gas detector apparatus and method for alerting residents to hazardous gas,” as shown in Figure 1, which is an alerting apparatus (10) for alerting residents to hazardous gas concentrations (See Abstract of the Chan Patent). The apparatus (10) disclosed by Chan includes an alarm clock, a gas sensor (14), a microcontroller (16), and visual display (18) and auditory speech warning means (20) for producing a wake-up alarm and a hazardous gas warning (See Abstract). In particular, the Chan Patent discloses that when the alerting apparatus (10) detects a dangerous level of carbon monoxide, initial visual display and auditory speech warnings are provided to the user using a digital display (18) and a voice synthesizer (20), wherein the visual and speech warning messages provide the user with warnings and instructions appropriate to the concentration of gas detected and time of exposure (See Abstract). The Chan Patent further discloses that a user may then retrieve further visual and auditory messages that provide a detailed gas detection event history (See Abstract).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would instantly recognize that the Chan Patent discloses an apparatus (10) for detecting carbon monoxide, a poisonous gas, in ambient air. The Chan Patent does not teach, or even suggest, a “device disposed to monitor water resistance of a case, sealed in a water resistant manner, of an electronic timepiece” as recited by independent claims 13 and 26 of the present application. In addition, the Chan Patent does not teach, or even suggest, that “in response to receiving a measurement signal from the

electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner, the electronic means for generating an alarm emits, if necessary, an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm” as recited by independent claims 13 and 26.

In other words, a person of ordinary skill in the art would realize that the “case” of Chan’s “clock radio gas detector” is not “sealed in a water resistant manner” because Chan’s “clock radio gas detector” must sample ambient air in order to detect and warn of hazardous levels of carbon monoxide in the environment (col. 2, lines 37-53). For the same reason, a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the apparatus (10) of Chan does not have an “electronic means” that responds to “receiving a measurement signal from the electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner” as recited by independent claims 13 and 26. Furthermore, the apparatus (10) disclosed by Chan is a clock radio and not a “watch” as recited by independent claim 26.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that the present invention pertains to problems of timepieces that include water resistant cases, such as is encountered in the field of the horological industry. On the other hand, the apparatus (10) disclosed by the Chan Patent pertains to a home appliance, in particular a clock radio, equipped with a carbon monoxide detector for detecting the presence of odorless, colorless and lethal carbon monoxide gas in a building. Thus, the Chan Patent pertains to non-analogous art that a person of ordinary skill in the horological industry would not consider when constructing a timepiece that includes a water resistant case.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would realize that the apparatus of Chan employs a gas detector for detecting the penetration of gas inside the case of the clock radio such that the gas is coming from outside the case. Because the atmosphere inside the case of Chan’s clock radio is the same as the surrounding atmosphere, the gas detector employed by Chan would not know which gas to detect for if used for monitoring the water resistance of a

watchcase, for example. A person of ordinary skill in the art would instantly realize that it would be impractical to employ such a carbon monoxide gas detector to monitor the water resistance of a sealed timepiece.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would also instantly realize that the gas detector disclosed by the Chan Patent is directly in contact with the surrounding atmosphere, whereas the present invention employs an “electronic sensor” disposed to measure fluctuations in the gas concentration in atmosphere contained in the sealed case. Therefore, the “electronic sensor” of the present invention is in contact with the atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece, which is atmosphere that differs from the ambient atmosphere outside of the case. Thus, the “electronic sensor,” in accordance with the present invention, monitors the gaseous exchanges between the inner atmosphere contained in the case and the outer ambient atmosphere of the environment.

With respect to independent claim 18, the Chan Patent does not teach, or suggest, “introducing a gas with an initial concentration into atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece so that the gas is sealed in the case.”

For all of the above reasons, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of anticipation against independent claims 13, 18 and 26.

**iii. The Section 103 Rejections**

A prima facie case of obviousness requires a showing that the scope and content of the prior art teaches each and every element of the claimed invention, and that the prior art provides some teaching, suggestion or motivation to combine the references to produce the claimed invention. In re Oetiker, 24 U.S.P.Q.2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992); In re Vaeck, 20 U.S.P.Q.2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991). In this case, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness against Applicant's claimed invention because the combination of the Chan Patent and the Imoto Publication does not teach each and every limitation of Applicant's claimed invention and Applicant's Specification is not prior art.

**iv. The Chan Patent**

The disclosure of the Chan Patent is discussed above. The Chan Patent does not teach, or even suggest, (i) a “device disposed to monitor water resistance of a case, sealed in a water resistant manner, of an electronic timepiece” and that (ii) “in response to receiving a measurement signal from the electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner, the electronic means for generating an alarm emits, if necessary, an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm” as recited by independent claims 13 and 26. The Chan Patent also does not teach, or suggest, (iii) “introducing a gas with an initial concentration into atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece so that the gas is sealed in the case” as recited by independent claim 18, and (iv) “the electronic timepiece is a watch” as recited by independent claim 26. As admitted by the Examiner (Office Action, dated June 13, 2007, at 6, lines 15-16; at 7, lines 2-3; and at 8, lines 1-2), the Chan Patent also does not teach, or suggest, (v) an “enclosed space is provided with a valve for forcing gas therein” as recited by claims 16 and 21, (vi) “the case is filled with gas by opening the case, filling the case with gas, then sealing the case in a water resistant manner” as recited by claim 20, and

(vii) “wherein the sensor includes electrical heating means whose role is to keep a thermally and electrically insulated membrane at a constant temperature” as recited by claim 17.

v. The Imoto Publication

The Imoto Publication discloses a “gas detection device” for detecting an odor (See, e.g., ¶ [0001]). The gas detector (1), as shown in Figure 1 of Imoto, measures particular components (odors or the like) contained in an ambient gas to be measured, wherein the device is compact, portable, or producing low noise (See Abstract of the Imoto Publication). The Imoto Publication discloses that the gas detector (1) has a zero gas cylinder (9) as a supplying unit of a zero gas and component parts disposed within its enclosure (2), wherein a syringe (3) is used as a gas intake/exhaust unit (See Abstract). The Imoto Publication discloses that the gas detector (1) alternately introduces a test gas, i.e., an ambient gas taken in from outside enclosure (2), through piping (12a), and the zero gas supplied from zero gas cylinder (9) into sensor unit (7) and measures the test gas, while having operation of each unit controlled by data processor (11), (See Abstract). The Imoto Publication also discloses that accurate measurement of the test gas is achieved by relatively comparing results of measurement on the gases at each measurement, and that the gas detector (1) can be moved to a spot where measurement is to be made to have the test gas measured there (See Abstract).

vi. Applicant’s Specification

Applicant’s Specification, pages 2 and 3, and paragraphs “30” and “31,” fall squarely in Applicant’s disclosure of the invention. The Federal Circuit has ruled that an applicant’s own disclosure cannot be used to support the rejection of the claims absent some admission by the applicant that the matter disclosed in the specification is prior art. In re Dillon, 13 U.S.P.Q.2d 1337, 1348 (Fed. Cir. 1989), *rev’d on other grounds*, 16 U.S.P.Q.2d 1897 (Fed.

Cir. 1990)(en banc). In this case, the Applicant has made no such admission. The Examiner's contention that Applicant has admitted prior art in the sections of Applicant's Specification cited by the Examiner (Office Action, dated June 13, 2007, at 8, lines 6-16) is, on its face, untenable and must be withdrawn.

**vii. Summary of the Disclosures**

Applicant's Specification is not prior art. Therefore, the Section 103 rejection standing against claims 17 and 19 is untenable and must be withdrawn.

Neither the Chan Patent nor the Imoto Publication, either alone or in combination, teach, or suggest, (i) a "device disposed to monitor water resistance of a case, sealed in a water resistant manner, of an electronic timepiece" and that (ii) "in response to receiving a measurement signal from the electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner, the electronic means for generating an alarm emits, if necessary, an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm" as recited by independent claims 13 and 26. The combination of the Chan Patent and the Imoto Publication also does not teach, or suggest, (iii) "introducing a gas with an initial concentration into atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece so that the gas is sealed in the case" as recited by independent claim 18, and (iv) "the electronic timepiece is a watch" as recited by independent claim 26.

For all of the above reasons, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness against Applicant's claimed invention.

**III. CONCLUSION**

The Examiner has failed to establish either a prima facie case of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), or a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), against Applicant's independent claims 13, 18 and 26 because neither the Chan Patent nor the Imoto


Publication, either alone or in combination, teach or suggest (i) a “device disposed to monitor water resistance of a case, sealed in a water resistant manner, of an electronic timepiece” and that (ii) “in response to receiving a measurement signal from the electronic sensor when the case is no longer sealed in a water resistant manner, the electronic means for generating an alarm emits, if necessary, an acoustic warning alarm or a visual warning alarm” as recited by independent claims 13 and 26. The combination of the Chan Patent and the Imoto Publication also does not teach, or suggest, (iii) “introducing a gas with an initial concentration into atmosphere contained in the case of the timepiece so that the gas is sealed in the case” as recited by independent claim 18, and (iv) “the electronic timepiece is a watch” as recited by independent claim 26.

For all of the above reasons, claims 13-26 are in condition for allowance and a prompt notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Questions are welcomed by the below-signed attorney for Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

*GRiffin & Szipl, P.C.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joerg-Uwe Szipl  
Registration No. 31,799

GRiffin & Szipl, P.C.  
Suite PH-1  
2300 Ninth Street, South  
Arlington, VA 22204

Telephone: (703) 979-5700  
Facsimile: (703) 979-7429  
Email: [gands@szipl.com](mailto:gands@szipl.com)  
Customer No.: 24203

## DEVICE AND METHOD FOR TESTING THE LEAK-TIGHTNESS OF A TIMEPIECE CASE

This is a National Phase Application in the United States of International Patent Application No. PCT/EP03/08672 filed August 6, 2004, which claims priority on European Patent Application No. 02078340.3, filed August 9, 2002. The entire disclosures of the above patent applications are hereby incorporated by reference.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention concerns a device for ensuring that a timepiece case is water resistant or sealed as regards the exterior. The present invention also concerns a method for checking the water resistance or sealing of such a case.

### 5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

It has already been proposed to have a prevailing pressure inside a watchcase greater than the atmospheric pressure, so as to prevent a higher external pressure than the pressure in the case causing penetration of water, steam, gas or dust into the case (Swiss Patent No. 312740). Thus, the case is provided with a valve allowing an inert gas to be forced therein at a pressure of 1.1 to 1.5 atmosphere and a manometer allowing the person wearing the watch to know the pressure prevailing inside the case.

A manometer is however a complicated, delicate and expensive instrument which, if the sealing device of the watchcase fails, is liable to be damaged, as is the movement, which considerably increases reconditioning expenses.

In order to overcome these problems, it has been proposed (Swiss Patent No. 544959) to replace the manometer by a device that is also for checking the excessive pressure in a watchcase, this device including a bistable elastically deformable membrane, separating the case in an airtight manner from an enclosed space in which a different pressure prevails, and means for making one or other of the two stable states of the membrane visible to the user.

A device of the type described hereinbefore has the advantage of being relatively simple and quite robust, and of being less expensive than a manometer. However, such a device has the drawback of providing all or nothing type information comparable to that provided by a warning light for example. Thus, the device will only pass from its first to its second stable state in which it indicates to the person wearing the watch that the latter has a water resistance problem, when the excessive pressure inside the watchcase has dropped by a predetermined value. Thus, it is quite possible for the watch to have water resistance problems, but for the excessive pressure

- prevailing therein not to have reached the threshold value necessary to trigger the device checking the water resistance quality. The movement can thus be damaged unbeknownst to the person wearing the watch. Moreover, the inert gas has to be forced into the case with a determined pressure higher than the trigger threshold of
- 5 the checking device, which requires suitable tools that not all horologists are likely to have. Finally, the measures carried out by such a device can be erroneous because of ambient temperature variations which affect the pressure of the air contained in the case.

It is an object of the present invention to overcome the aforementioned  
10 drawbacks in addition to others by proposing a device for monitoring the water resistance of a watchcase which enables the user to be warned as soon as the latter starts to have water resistance defects.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- 15 The present invention therefore concerns a device for monitoring a case of an electronic timepiece including a time base for generating a standard frequency signal and a central processing unit for determining the time from the standard signal, characterized in that it includes an electronic sensor capable of measuring fluctuations in the concentration of a gas in the confined atmosphere of the case, the results of the  
20 measurement carried out by the electronic sensor being processed by the central processing unit, which, in response to the measurement signal, emits, if necessary, an acoustic or visual warning signal.

Owing to these features, the present invention provides a device for  
instantaneously warning the person wearing the watch of a water resistance defect in  
25 his watchcase. In fact, as soon as the watch has a water resistance defect, this causes a gaseous exchange between the surrounding air and the atmosphere contained in the watchcase and causes a concomitant decrease in the concentration of gas in the atmosphere contained in said case, a decrease which is detected by the sensor. Quickly warned of the loss of water resistance of his watch, the user can take  
30 it to his horologist who will be able to place it under a vacuum bell in order to test it and repair it if necessary. The risk of the watch movement being damaged is thus greatly reduced.

Another advantage of the present invention lies in the fact that the gas sensor operates in association with the electronic means of the watch, using these means to  
35 generate, if necessary, an acoustic or visual alarm. It is not, therefore, necessary to house additional electronic components in the watchcase, which allows substantial

savings to be achieved in terms of component costs and assembly time, and space is also saved in the watchcase.

According to a complementary feature of the invention, the gas sensor includes a differential measuring bridge. This type of circuit is reliable, compact and consumes less energy since it is only switched on for brief moments at regular time intervals. Moreover, it does not include any moving members, which further increases its reliability.

According to another feature of the invention, the gas present in the atmosphere contained in the watchcase is an inert heavy gas having different thermal conductivity to that of the air like, for example, carbon dioxide. After observing a loss of water resistance of the watch and subsequent repair, the watch can be very easily reconditioned by a commercial horologist. In fact, while the watchcase is still open, one has only to introduce therein the inert heavy gas whose fluctuations in concentration have to be monitored. Thus, in the case of carbon dioxide, which is a gas that can easily be obtained in the form of an aerosol can, no specific tools are necessary. After having filled the case with gas, completely or partially, one only has to seal the latter without having to worry about the concentration of said gas insofar as the device according to the invention uses relative concentration values and not absolute values.

The use of an inert gas has other advantages. Thus, because of its neutrality, it cannot react with the watch components, such that variations in the concentration thereof in the confined atmosphere of the case faithfully reflect the gaseous exchanges occurring between the case and the ambient air. Of course, the inert gas selected must not be toxic or widespread in the air, i.e. the concentration thereof in the case must be higher than its concentration in the air.

According to a variant, the inert gas can be forced into the case via a valve. This variant proves particularly advantageous when one wishes to force an inert gas that is lighter than air into the watchcase, such as helium. In fact, it would not be possible to fill the case with such an inert light gas simply by opening the case and filling it using an aerosol can, since the gas would escape. Moreover, the thermal conductivity coefficient of helium is in a ratio of ten with respect to that of the ambient air, which allows increased detection sensitivity. Moreover, a light gas such as helium diffuses more easily, which further contributes to reinforcing detection sensitivity.

The present invention also concerns a method of monitoring the water resistance of a timepiece case, this method being characterized in that it includes the steps consisting of:

- introducing a gas with an initial concentration into the confined atmosphere of the case;
  - measuring the initial concentration of said gas;
  - continuously or intermittently measuring the concentration of the gas, and
- 5        - generating an alarm when the measured gas concentration is different from the initial concentration of said gas or when the leak rate exceeds a predetermined value.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- 10        Other features and advantages of the present invention will appear more clearly from the following detailed description of the monitoring device according to the invention, this example being given purely by way of non-limiting illustration, in conjunction with the annexed drawing, in which:
- Figure 1 is a cross-section of a wristwatch fitted with the water resistance monitoring device according to the invention;
  - Figure 2 is a view of the watchcase shown in Figure 1 at the moment when it is filled with gas using an aerosol can;
  - Figure 3 is a view of a watch fitted with a valve for forcing a gas into the watchcase, and
- 20        —Figure 4 is an electronic diagram of the gas sensor and the central processing unit of the watch.

Figure 5 shows the gas sensor operating in association with the electronic means of the watch, using these means to generate, if necessary, an acoustic or visual alarm.

25        DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention proceeds from the general inventive idea that consists in measuring fluctuations in the concentration of a gas in the atmosphere contained in the watchcase. As soon as a decrease in the concentration of gas is detected, an alarm is generated in order to warn the person wearing the watch that the latter has a water resistance defect. Compared to the known solutions of the prior art which consist, mostly, in establishing excessive pressure in the watchcase and monitoring any decrease in such excessive pressure, the present invention has the main advantage of not forming an all or nothing type system which only provides information when the parameter being monitored reaches a threshold trigger value, but conversely, it provides an extremely sensitive system which will warn the person wearing the watch as soon as the latter starts to show signs of loss of water

resistance. Moreover, the system according to the invention measures a relative value and not an absolute value. It is not, therefore, necessary to fix the initial concentration of gas at a determined value, since only the value of this initial concentration has to be known. Finally, the device according to the invention is of the passive type, such that it

5 does not include any moving parts and is consequently very reliable.

The present invention will be described in conjunction with a timepiece of the wristwatch type. It goes without saying that the invention is not limited to such a watch and that it can equally be applied to measuring loss of water resistance for any type of timepiece.

10 Figure 1 annexed to the present Patent Application is a cross-section of a timepiece fitted with a gas detection device according to the invention. Designated as a whole by the general numeral reference 1, this timepiece includes, in a conventional manner, a case 2 fitted with a middle part 4 and a back cover 6 which delimits the lower part of case 2. In the example shown in the drawing, back cover 6 is integral  
15 with middle part 4. It goes without saying however that the present invention applies in the same way to a non mono-shell case that includes a back cover distinct from the middle part. Case 2 can be made, for example, of a plastic material in accordance with well known injection techniques. The present invention is not limited, however, to the choice of such a material and case 2 could be made of any type of material suited  
20 to the requirements of the watchmaking industry such as, in particular, steel.

Timepiece 1 also includes a horometric movement 8 mounted in a casing ring  
10. This movement 8 is supplied with current by an electric battery 12 which may, if necessary, be recharged when exhausted, or replaced. Battery 12, shown schematically in the drawing, is typically a button type battery. It can be housed in  
25 back cover 6 of watch 1. The lower face of battery 12, which forms one of the poles of the latter, is electrically connected to watch 1 earth, for example via a spring contact 13 fixed to back cover 6 of said watch 1. The other pole of battery 12 that is formed by its upper face is, in the usual manner, electrically connected to horometric movement 8.

30 In its upper part, case 2 is delimited by a glass 14 covering time information display means 16. In the example shown in the drawing, these display means 16 are formed of a dial 18 above which an hour hand 20, a minute hand 22 and a second hand 24 move. These are thus analogue time display means. They could also be digital display means formed by a liquid crystal cell.

35 Finally, case 2 includes on its upper periphery a notch 26 in which there is engaged a bezel 28, which secures glass 14 to case 2. Bezel 28 is fixedly mounted on case 2, for example by bonding or ultrasound welding or by being driven therein.

Glass 14 is made water resistant with respect to case 2 owing to the use of a gasket 30 wedged between the glass and the case.

As can be seen in Figure 1, timepiece 1 also includes a sensor 32 housed inside case 2. This sensor 32 is capable of detecting fluctuations in the concentration 5 of a gas such as, for example, carbon dioxide, in the atmosphere contained in case 2. Sensor 32 is preferably of the electronic type, of small dimensions and consuming little energy. One example of such a sensor is formed by that marketed by the Microsens Product company under the reference MTCS 2200.

The operating principle of such a sensor is as follows. Electrical heating means 10 have the role of keeping a thermally and electrically insulated membrane forming an integral part of the sensor at a predetermined reference temperature. The thermal conductivity of the atmosphere contained in the case varies as a function of fluctuations in the concentration of inert gas in said atmosphere, and more or less energy thus has to be provided to the heating means in order to keep the membrane 15 at its reference temperature. Correspondence tables determine, as a function of the electrical energy provided, the concentration in the atmosphere of the inert gas used. In the case of carbon dioxide, its thermal conductivity is less than a third of that of the air, which allows variations in the concentration of this gas as low as 1% to be detected. In another embodiment, the thermal flux is measured between the heated 20 membrane and a temperature sensor, separated from each other by the gas whose concentration fluctuations have to be measured.

When gas sensor 32 is to be used, the watch must first of all be filled with inert gas. In order to do this, two solutions can be envisaged. The first, which is the simplest, consists in opening case 2 of watch 1 and spraying the gas inside the latter. 25 Thus, in the case of carbon dioxide, which is a gas that can easily be obtained in the form of an aerosol can 34 (see Figure 2), no specific tools are necessary. After having filled case 2 with gas, the latter only needs to be sealed in a water resistant manner. According to a variant, it is also possible to fit watch 1 with a valve 36 (see Figure 3) that opens via an external application pressure and which includes a tube 38 to which 30 a pressurized gas supply conduit can be fitted.

As shown in Figure 4, horometric movement 8 of watch 1 includes in a conventional manner a time base 42 for generating a standard frequency signal and a central processing unit 44 for determining the time from the standard signal and supplying drive pulses to a motor 46 which will drive hour hand 20, minute hand 22 35 and second hand 24 via a gear train that is not shown. According to a variant, central processing unit 44 could also supply electrical control signals to a liquid crystal display to display the time digitally.

According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, pressure sensor 32 includes a differential measuring bridge 48 which can be powered using a current generator 50 or voltage generator 52 and which is formed of four branches each including a resistor, respectively 54 and 56, and series connected. The resistors of the 5 pair of branches which are mounted in parallel on the current source are equal, whereas the resistors 56 of the other pair of branches have a resistance that varies as a function of the concentration of inert gas in the atmosphere contained in case 2 of watch 1. When the concentration of gas decreases, the equilibrium is broken between the two pairs of branches, which creates a potential difference proportional to the 10 concentration of inert gas. This potential difference is applied to the input of an analogue/digital converter 58 via a differential amplifier 60. Finally, the output of converter 58 is connected to one of the inputs of central processing unit 44 of watch 1.

If the watch is fitted with a liquid crystal display, central processing unit 44 could indicate the leak rate of watch 1 to its user. The leak rate is the ratio between a 15 pressure difference expressed in millibars and a length of time expressed in seconds, the whole being multiplied by the volume expressed in litres from the watchcase. In practice, in order to calculate the leak rate, the central processing unit calculates the difference between the last two pressure measurements carried out then divides this result by the length of time that separates the moments at which the pressure 20 measurements were carried out. The central processing unit then only has to multiply this ratio by a constant which represents the volume of the watchcase. The real value of the leak rate can be displayed on the liquid crystal display cell. One can also choose to enter into the central unit memory a nominal value which represents the maximum admissible leak rate by the watch, and to express the value of the leak rate 25 measured as a percentage of the nominal value. Likewise, for increased precision, the central processing unit can calculate a mean leak rate for several pairs of pressure values measured in the past. The advantage of calculating the leak rate lies in the fact that the latter can have an exaggerated value whereas the concentration of gas in the atmosphere contained in the watchcase has not yet passed below the critical 30 threshold beyond which the alarm is triggered. The user could thus, himself, check the water resistance quality of his watch 1 and decide whether it needs to be taken back to the horologist to be repaired.

Once the gas has been introduced into case 2, sensor 32 carries out a measurement of the initial concentration of the gas, then carries out subsequent 35 measurements continuously or intermittently. As soon as the sensor detects a variation in the concentration of inert gas in the atmosphere contained in the case higher than a predetermined value, it generates an alarm. In fact, if the concentration

of inert gas varies, this means that air has penetrated the case from the exterior of the latter.

An advantage of the present invention, as shown in Figure 5, is that the gas sensor 32 operates in association with the electronic means 44 of the watch, using these means 44 to generate, if necessary, an acoustic or visual alarm. The alarm can be of the visual type in the form of a message or a symbol on a liquid crystal screen 102, wherein the visual type alarm is generated using electronic means 44 of the watch. The sensor can also command a warning light 104 to be switched on by using electronic means 44, or even an acoustic signal 106 to be emitted using the electronic means 44. From then on, the person wearing watch 1 is warned that the latter has a water resistance defect and that it should be taken to a horologist for repair. Since the user is quickly informed, the risk of the watch movement being deteriorated is greatly limited.

It goes without saying that the present invention is not limited to the embodiments that have just been described and that various modifications and simple variants can be envisaged without departing from the scope of the invention. In particular, one could envisage the gas sensor carrying out an ambient temperature measurement before measuring the desired concentration of gas. Indeed, a timepiece is typically intended to operate in a temperature range comprised between -20°C and +70°C. It will be understood that such temperature differences affect the pressure inside the case. By measuring the ambient temperature beforehand, the sensor can take account of the result of this measurement to correct the pressure measurement that it will subsequently carry out.